

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,619	09/15/2003	Hyun Jin Kim	0EKM-104792	9762
30764 SHEPPARD N	7590 06/04/200 MULLIN, RICHTER &	EXAMINER		
333 SOUTH H	OPE STREET	TRIMIEW, RAEANN		
48TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90071-1448		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3711	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		ı		
ı	ľ	L		
d	η	ľ		
1	ı			

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan.	10/662,619	KIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
T. MAIL 11/2 B 3 T 3	Raeann Trimiew	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ıne 2006.						
_	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
·	nanding in the annication						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4) Claim(s) 1-41,48,50-53,55-57,63 and 64 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)  Claim(s) <u>1-41,48,50-53,55-57,63 and 64</u> is/are 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subjected to:	r election requirement						
are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

After further consideration the finality of the last office action is withdrawn. The amendment filed 6-6-06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22, 24-38, 40, 41, 48, 51, 53, 56, 57, 63 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohama (2001/0031669). Regarding claims 1 and 41, Ohama discloses a golf ball core composition comprising 1,4-polybutadiene, peroxide (crosslinking agent), pentachlorothiophenol (peptizer) and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (accelerator). Ohama broadly disclose the use of pentachlorothiophenols but does not disclose specific types such as metal salts or nonmetal salts. However, for purposes of the instant invention the metal salts and nonmetal salts appear to be equivalents. Regarding claims 2-5, pentachlorothiophenol (peptizer) is present in the amount from 0.2 to 3.0 parts by weight. Regarding claims 6-9, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (accelerator) is present in the amounts from 0.2 to 3.0 parts by weight. Regarding claims 10-12, peroxide (crosslinking agent) is present in the amount from 0.3 to 2 parts by weight. Regarding claim 13, the core composition is

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

made from 1,4-polybutadiene. Regarding claims 14-17, the core composition includes filler such as zinc oxide and barium sulfate in the amounts from 5 to 30 parts by weight. Regarding claims 18 and 19, the core composition includes metal salts unsaturated carboxylic acid in the amount from 15 to 30 parts by weight. Regarding claim 20, the golf ball includes a core and cover, wherein the core is made from the composition (see above). Regarding claim 21, the core may include multiple layers, i.e. intermediate layer. Regarding claim 22, the state of the materials during manufacturing does not appear to affect the final product since the composition results a solid. Regarding claim 24, the golf ball includes a core, intermediate layer, and cover, wherein the core is made from the composition. Regarding claims 25-28, Ohama discloses a golf ball core composition comprising 1,4-polybutadiene, peroxide (crosslinking agent), pentachlorothiophenol (peptizer), and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (accelerator). Peroxide (crosslinking agent) is present in the amount from 0.3 to 2 parts by weight. Pentachlorothiophenol (peptizer) and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (accelerator) are present in combination from 0.2 to 3.0 parts by weight. Ohama broadly disclose the use of pentachlorothiophenols but does not disclose specific types such as metal salts or nonmetal salts. However, for purposes of the instant invention the metal salts and nonmetal salts appear to be equivalents. Regarding claim 29, the core composition is made from 1,4-polybutadiene. Regarding claims 30-33, the core composition includes filler such as zinc oxide and barium sulfate in the amounts from 5 to 30 parts by weight. Regarding claims 34 and 35, the core composition includes metal salts unsaturated carboxylic acid in the amount from 15 to 30 parts by weight. Regarding claim 36, the

Art Unit: 3711

golf ball includes a core and cover, wherein the core is made from the composition (see above). Regarding claim 37, the core may include multiple layers, i.e., intermediate layer. Regarding claim 38, the state of the materials during manufacturing does not appear to affect the final product since the composition results a solid. Regarding claim 40, the golf ball includes a core, intermediate layer, and cover, wherein the core is made from the composition. Regarding claims 48 and 53, the core composition includes metal salts unsaturated carboxylic acid. Claims 50 and 55, pentachlorothiophenol (peptizer) is present in the amount from 0.2 to 3.0 parts by weight. Regarding claim 51 and 56, the composition includes dicumyl peroxide. Claims 52 and 57, the crosslinking agent may be 2, 5-dimethyl-2,5-di-(t-butylperoxy)hexyne-3. Claims 63 and 64, the cross linking agent may be 1,1-bis(t-butylperoxy)-3,3,5 tri-methylcyclohexane. One of ordinary skill in the art would substitute the non-metal salts for the metal salts since both appear to have the same function.

Claims 23 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohama (2001/0031669) in view of Sullivan (2001/0000506). Ohama discloses the invention but does not disclose a wound layer. Sullivan teaches a golf ball comprising a solid or wound layer over the core. One of ordinary skill in the art would include wound layer for lower manufacturing costs.

## Response to Arguments

As noted above the claims filed 6-6-06 have been entered and have been rejected as shown above. The final office action was withdrawn to remove the

Art Unit: 3711

secondary reference (Hayashi) from the rejection as well as to include claims 50 and 55 in the rejection. After further review of the specification it appears as if the metal and non-metal salts thiophenols are equivalents or interchangeable. The Examiner is requesting applicant to provide scientific data showing the difference(s) between the golf balls comprising the metal salts versus the golf balls comprising the non-metal salts. The specification currently shows the two as equivalents and does not provide any explanation with regard to the effects of using one over the other in the instant invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Trimiew whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Raeann Trimiew/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

May 28, 2007